REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated August 8,

2006. In response to the Office Action's requirement for restriction, applicants elect Group I, drawn

to an electronic device, a monomer and a polymer with traverse.

As recited by the Examiner, inventions I and II are related as process of making and product

made. Thus, applicants submit that Group I should comprise claims 1-8, 10 and 12 because they are

directed to products made and Group II should comprise claims 9 and 11 because they are directed

to processes of making. Accordingly, applicants have elected Group I with traverse and have

amended the claims to comprise claims 1-8, 10 and 12 as suggested by the applicants.

Please cancel claims 9 and 11 without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claims 6 and 8 were amended to change their multiple dependant form, not in order to

address issues of patentability and applicants respectfully reserve all rights they may have under the

Doctrine of Equivalents.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the currently-pending claims, as

herein amended, clearly define statutory subject matter. Accordingly, allowance of the currently-

pending claims is now respectfully submitted to be justified, and favorable consideration is earnestly

solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Paul Im/

Paul Im, Reg.No. 50,418

Patent Agent

(914) 333-9627

September 7, 2006

6

N:\UserPublic\IM\NL\NL02\NL020257\NL020257-Response to Restriction.doc